Fibonacci Design + engineering PORTFOLIO

10063

@ @ G3

00

Δ

5

6

8

9

10

Contraction of the second seco

3

400 60 GB

CONTENTS

Design Concepts Development Front Wing Rear Wing Rear Wing Support Structure Wheels Centrifugal Clutch + Tether Guide CAM + CNC Assembly + Finishing Design Process Evaluation

RESEARCH

RESEARCH > DESIGN > DEVELOP > MANUFACTURE

RESEARCH FINDINGS

These research ideas will be addressed throughout our development.

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 C_D A$$

temperature.

 $\rho = \text{Density of Air}$ v = Speed of car $C_D = \text{Drag Coefficient}$ A = Frontal Area

N

4

G

MASS

OF

CENTRE

 ∞

The drag equation shows the factors the total drag force is proportional to. Drag coefficient, $C_{\rm p}$ and frontal area, A are the two main variables we have control over with our car. We took the **density of air**, ρ to be 1.28 kgm^{-3} however it varies based on the room

FLOW SEPERATION

▼ Figure 1A: Research findings- aerodynamic

Dimples on a golf ball reduce flow separation by creating a turbulent boundary layer which keeps the air attached further around the curvature of the ball. Flow separation is when the air detaches from a surface into a wake, increasing drag.

EFFECT COANDA

က

FORMULA

DRAG

~



The Coanda effect theory states that because air is viscous, it follows a curved convex surface. However, with a concave surface it separates and forms eddies, increasing turbulence.



For small angles an aerofoil generates lift roughly proportional to angle of attack. Stalling happens when the incline is too steep resulting in turbulence. The best aerodynamic section is an aerofoil with 0° angle of attack.



Torque is the force that creates an angular acceleration of a mass. Within our bearings there is frictional torgue which resists angular acceleration. Before motion there is static torque and once in motion the resistance is dynamic torque.



Centre of mass is a hypothetical point where the object is balanced. Simple cross sections have formulas we can use to find the centre of mass theoretically. A force acting on an object away from this point creates a **moment**.

COMPUTER AIDED ANALYSIS SET UP

The following steps are carried out to run each CFD simulation:

-Import CAD design and edit mesh to make specific regions more detailed, focussing on complex geometry. -Assign volume of wind tunnel to 'air'. Simulation is irrespective of car materials.

-Boundary conditions: air inlet velocity at $20ms^{-1}$, outlet pressure at 0, remaining walls set to 'slip', body walls set to 'no slip'

-Wheel rotation + CO_2 escaping implemented.

WHEEL ROTATION

The angular velocity of the wheels is calculated by dividing the track length by the circumference of the wheels to find the rotational speed in RPM and then multiplying that by 2π to find the **angular** velocity in radians per second.

CO2 ESCAPING CANISTER

The escaping CO_2 changes the airflow at the rear significantly, so we modelled this in the simulation. As the pressure difference is so high when the canister is punctured, choked flow occurs giving a velocity of Mach 1 at 15C. Over time this decreases, so we modelled an average escape velocity of 150ms-1

CO2 CHAMBER DEVELOPMENT

The first application of Virtual Analysis was to alter the curve of the CO_2 chamber to utilise the **coanda effect** and reduce flow seperation at the rear of the car.



DESIGN CYCLE AIMS



Bernoulli's principle states that a faster flowing fluid has lower pressure than slower flowing fluid. In practice this means when air is compressed through a narrower space, such as beneath an F1 in Schools car, the air flow is faster which reduces the pressure beneath the car. The difference in pressure creates downforce.



As this graph shows, a material deforms in response to a tensile load. Beyond the yield point it permanently deforms as this is beyond the elastic limit. The ultimate tensile strength is the maximum stress it can withstand.

STRENGTH TENSILE Fibonacci

Slip walls







Car Aims

Technicallv inspired ideas.

Purposeful testing.

Virtual Analysis integrated.

Ongoing idea evaluations.

Relevant R&D throughout



Detail + develop all manufacturing stages.

Designed for manufacture. Strong

> Quality control checks. Compliance tools. Legal

DESIGN CONCEPTS

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

3D MODELLING

2

Advanced use of 3D Modelling techniques were used throughout the process of CAD modelling our car and parts. Here is a **timeline** to show our 3D Modelling process. Each step prepares our car for being CAM Manufactured with Fillets being manufacturing considerations for a 3mm CNC end bit. Each part was checked with the measure and interference tool to ensure highly detailed modelling.



We use the 'Form' workspace to model the majority of our F1 in Schools car. Fluency with these tools allow us to model any design concept with a high degree of freedom.

We begin with a flat plane form or a cylinder and use the 'Edit Form' tool to adjust and mould the form to our desired highly detailed shapes.

Within the 'Form' workspace additional tools such as 'mirror', 'fill hole' and 'insert edge' are used to achieve highly detailed 3D models.

The mirror tool creates a mirror line with an existing plane and any edits on one side of the model automatically alter on the mirrored side. 'Fill hole' closes gaps in the form achieving solid bodies while 'Insert edge' gives an extra edge to adjust giving more precise 3D modelling.



The 'Revolve' tool rotates a cross section made as a sketch around an axis. We used this tool to model our wheel system and hubs as we could alter the cross section sketch easily and Fusion 360 updated the final form along the timeline.

SKETCH TOOL

5

J

Using the sketch tool we can create the initial shape for all 3D Modelling commands (blue icons). Within the sketch tool we can create ellipses, arcs, and any other highly detailed sections.

FILLET



Fillets are added to accommodate a 3mm ball nose CNC mill bit. Without the fillet the CNC wouldn't be able to reach all parts of the model.

EXTRUDE



'Extrude' makes a 2D sketch or profile into a **3D body**. Additionally it can subtract from an existing body. We used this tool to subtract material from our car such as the cut off at the back of our car.

▲ Figure 11: Autodesk Icons







Figure 8

'Fillet' creates a concave/convex curve in the seam between two bodies. We used this to curve sharp edges to improve the aerodynamics of our car.





Figure 9

in fig 17 this main body has better aerodynamics.



The first modification to our Nationals car (fig 12) was to sink the bottom (fig 13). With the front sinking at a steeper angle and both sections behind the wheels and under the car having an incline. The idea was to

reduce turbulence going under the car.

Due to the regulation change for the minimum wheel diameter we had to change the front wing design.







 $0.402 C_{D}$

APPLICATION OF CAA

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

DRAFT ANALYSIS

'Draft Analysis' colours the surface based on its gradient. As you can see in figure 19, the front of the CO_2 housing has purple areas right where the CFD shows low pressure indicating turbulance. We altered the shape to even up the colours and create a more gradual convex shape.

CO2 CHAMBER CUT



Here the air flow separates at the back of the car. Here we explored how changing where the cut off was at the back could reduce the turbulance from the flow separation.





Figure 19: Draft Analysis

▼ Figure 20: CO2 Chamber Cut Off

We used Fusion 360's 'Curvature Comb Analysis' where it projects

data points along the top curved edge and shows any **drastic changes**



with no more premature flow separation.

main body and reduces

We tried making the top support wedge wider to divert more air from hitting the wheels. However it only adds more drag, with barely if any improvement to airflow.

By filling in the material we fixed the high pressure under the wing however the air hitting the wheels is worse and there

▲ Figure

FRONT WING CFD DEVELOPMENT

is still lots of drag from the supports.



We took the clear airflow from A and the connection to the front wing from D to create this design which does distribute pressure well, however the wheels have a lot of air hitting them- a persisting problem.

air from hitting the front wheels, creating turbulance and resistance.

This design **distributes the**

there's nothing diverting the

pressure well. However



Figure 24

Final wing directs air away from wheels with a convex support. Narrow design to allow for larger wheel base. Straight wing airfoil Figure 27 section to minimise drag and create laminar air flow down the car body.



To direct the air from the front wheels we tried this wavy design. The pressure is unevenly distributed at the front and there is still lots of air hitting the wheels as well as turbulance under the

wing in space.







 $F_D=rac{1}{2}
ho v^2 C_D A$

ANEMOMETER





▲Figure 22 ▼Figure 23





in the gradient. This way we could clearly identify where the rear of the

turbulance.

Clear improvement

3

REAR WING RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

REAR WING

From exploring rear wing designs, the supports holding up the wing created lots of drag. Our idea to minimise this was to have thin pylons to minimise the drag coeffient of the wing support as well as the frontal area of the car.







▲ Figure 35

CFD RESULTS ON WING CHANGE



▲ Figure 38: Rear Wing Before



▲ Figure 39: Raised Rear Wing



▲ Figure 40: Wide Support



Figure 41: Wing Moment

ROD SUPPORT RADIUS

CFD shows the thinner the support rod, the less drag. We're aiming to hit the goldilocks zone between minimum diameter to reduce drag while being structurally strong enough for racing and sufficiently supporting the rear wing. Shaping the rod to an aerofoil **shape** does slightly improve it's aerodynamics.

Raising the rear wing has **improved** the very high pressure region. This could be due to the

arrow shape as this pressure analysis is taken at the **peak** of the wing, next we'd like to test a straight wing for comparison.

Compared to a wide support, it's clear having a thinner, less obtrusive support is much better aerodynamically.

The support for the rear wing must be connected securely as any lift generated over the wing will create a turning moment on the joint between rod and wing.



pressure area between the top surface of the car so to improve before manufacturing we're going to increase the wing's height above the car (greater than the minimum 5mm) to see if that improves airflow around the wing and fixes the low pressure region.

There is a darker **low**





ATTACHING REAR WING





sides of the CO_2 housing, we can slot in the rear wing support. This is structurally weak and creates drag from the bulges. Instead, by attaching the wing to the top of the car we have more surface area to work with but a break in the join would

By attaching the rear wing supports to the pods behind the wheels we have more material to work with. This allows for a securer fit. The *CO*₂ chamber can now be made narrower, reducing the frontal area of the car and total drag produced from the wing and supports.



▼Figure 45: Rod Diameter Effect



THEORY TESTING PITCHING MOMENT

Wheel base is a major decider in the **scope** of exploration we can do in the shape of our rear wing.

On page 2 we show our development of the front wing where we kept it as narrow as possible while making it aerodynamic and structurally strong.

By having a straight wing the wheel base can be maximised.





(11.15,61.00)

(x 30) CO2 line of action

| (13.17,16.35) (76.91,26.68) (7 (13.17,16.35) (161.00,14.00) (0,0) (161.00,14.00) (0,0) Figure 49: Centre of Mass calculations A lots way of total and the second of t | (X, 00) 002 III | 5 01 000011 | | |
|--|--|--|---|----|
| (0,0) Figure 49: Centre of Mass calculations Al unto un Al un Al unto un Al un | (13.17,16.35) | (76.91 - 00, 14, 00) | ,26.68) (| 18 |
| Figure 49: Centre of Mass calculations | • • (3 (0,0) | 7.00,14.00) | (161.00,14.0 | 0) |
| Al was we go to Nig" Al was we we go to Nig" Rer was report ing 23 39.91 for 64.01 or 2222 for the former was some of 2222 for the for the former was some of 2222 for the for the former was so | Figure 49: C | entre of Mass ca | alculations | |
| EMerchant = 0 (Lenshared) support piv | Al wets we gen to nem and N gen to Reserving support Maps to Indicase 39,311 of The indicase of Assumer of Assumer of Assumer EM | Nig" Fort wing Softwar Soft | CWM=AC Weight of e creates a r around the support piv | |
| (0.145×39.4)+ (0.07+151.76) = (0.1×3.8)+ × : X=14 Nom 1f X nots at front intens \$100 Would it fout intens \$100 | (0.145×39.4) + (007 : × (f × acts at front c Usingle of the | x 151.76) = (0.1x23.8) + X = 14 Norm Lead safert: art where \$ \$103 | 6 | |

REAR WING R&D EVALUATION

IDEA EVALUATION

-Rod support for the rear wing reduces turbulance and comes as a natural progression.

-Centre of mass theory informed the infill we used for front and rear wings resulting in a balanced final design.

-Support developed from research results showing purposeful testing.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-For efficiency rod radius being CFD tested could be done after **stress testing** (see page 5) so only the diameters we have available are tested.



▲ Figure 44: Attach bellow

4

By having extra material at the





Fibonacci 👍

Figure 46



I = 0/M ach part oment wheel



When the CO_2 is released, additional load acts on the bearings and stress occurs in the contact area between the roller and the raceway. Deflection, caused by stress, creates an offset in the rotating axis creating a resisting moment.

Max wheel base area



By finding the centre of mass of each part we can calculate the system centre of mass which will be the **pivot** that the **impulse** from the CO_2 acts on.

We theoretically found the centre of mass of each component. By sketching a free body diagram with the weight of each component acting at its theoretical centre of mass we can calculate how to balance our car.

When 3d printing we should **increase** rear wing and front wheel system density to bring the cars centre of mass up and to prevent pivoting during the coasting phase of the race.



▲ Figure 50: rear wing shape CFD

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE ▼Figure 51: Rod Test Method

SUPPORT STRUCTURE MATERIALS

Aim: Find the material that allows us to have the minimum diameter of rear wing supports. Method: Source a variety of potential rear wing supports, use vice and 0.5kg weight to test suitibility. Expected outcome: Final rear wing support rod diameter and material determined.



Fail: Snap

Fail: Bent Fail: Bent

CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT- REAR WING SUPPORT



Initially we designed the support to have a 3D Printed base to the rod which fits into the rear pods made of model block. To Improve:

-strength of support -manufacture considerations



Rod secured with

foam blocks

Outcome:

0.8mm steel is the thinnest successful rod. It flexes but returns to straight when the weight is removed. In case the deceleration from the brushes bends the rod greater than it's yield point it will permanantly deform or break. We decided to use the 1mm carbon fibre as



Vice

Neight added to rod

By widening the base of the support, strength is increased between the rod and 3D Printed base. Chamfers are added to the model block edges and the base. To Improve:

-Use virtual analysis to consider aerodynamics

-Keep wide base up to rod so not fiddily.



To improve: -Replace model block rear pods as 3mm model block not strong enough.

By CAD designing the support with a separate 3D Printed part we can add magnets. This ensures no breakages as everything **separates** at the brushes. Strength increased as the plastic is stronger than model block.



REAR WING SUPPORT MANUFACTURE

Before manufacure the rear wing support structure was split to reduce support material. Assembling reduced accuracy and quality of parts due to **misalignment** so we printed upside down with parts un-split.





MAGNETIC SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

Our aim is for the magnets to be strong enough to stay engaged during racing but to separate on impact after the finish line. To give a rough idea of magnet strength needed: Impulse = mv-mu

=0.05(0)-0.05(20)= 1Ns

Impulse=ft

time ≈ 0.05 seconds Force=1/0.05=20Newtons So we're looking to achieve a pull force of just under 2kg so the wing separates just after the initial contact with the brushes.

REAR WING EVALUATION

IDEA EVALUATION -Strength testing eliminated rods that would likely fail during racing by applying a load to them. -Rod connection to car developed to be sufficiently strong. 30mm high -Virtual testing improved aerodynamics of this concept ▲ Figure 75: Brush height making it a worthwhile development to our car. -Magnets cleverly solve impulse issue with rapid deceleration when the car hits the brushes (fig 76). -After test racing, support rods angled forwards to increase horizontal component of force going into disengaging magnet instead the exerting a torque on the joint between wing and rod, however the rod twists instead. **IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS** -Each rod could have been tested through racing to give a more accurate representation of its ability to withstand force.



Figure 5

Figure 5

The dimples in the support create more turbulance as the air interacts with the rod. The increased drag coefficient means we've

removed the dimples for the final design.

Figure 61

 $F_D=rac{1}{2}
ho v^2 C_D A$



Similar to the dimples of a golf ball, this reduced the wake of the car. Virtual analysis shows the smaller dimples to be more

effective on the rear support although harder to manufacture. Figure 59







system before split



▲ Figure 68: Rear wing support system split up.





▲ Figure 69: Model in Pursaslicer. ▲ Figure 70: 3D Printed parts.









▲ Figure 72: Final design



Pull Strength: 0.5kg Success: Disengages after brushes

▲ Figure 74: Magnet's D

[▲] Figure 73: Magnet A

WHEELS DEVELOPMENT

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

WHEEL PHYSICS RESEARCH

For the general case for wheels to decide whether to develop solid wheels or hollow rimmed wheels, we calculated the inertia.

We kept the units as mm as it was for comparitive results and as the wheel material would be the same for both, we kept density as " ρ ".

Rimmed wheels of 1mm thickness have less than half the Inertia of solid wheels! This is due to the volume being being significantly less.

Because of this we foccused on developing thin rimmed wheels.

6



 $\tau = I\alpha$

the angular

radius, r

For a given Torque, τ

we want to maximise

wheels, α so we need

Inertia of the wheels.

acceleration of our

to minimise the

inner radius, r_0

WHEEL RESEARCH + DEVELOPMENT



Initially we had the bearing fitted to the wheel with the axle inside and an inner wheel hub fixed to the axle. This was simple to manufacture and assemble with the axle **push fitting** into the bearing.



Solid

 $V = \pi r^2 w$

 $= 9232mm^{3}$

m = <u>9232</u>*ρ*kg

 $I = 904736\rho$

 $I = \frac{1}{2}(9232\rho)(14^2)$

Half the Inertia!

 $m = \rho * V$

 $I = \frac{1}{2}mr^2$

 $= 3.14 * 14^2 * 15$

To improve: -Too unstable (see fig 79), wheel wobbles lots. -reduce inertia of rotating wheel, hub connection inefficient. -Improve contact with axle and car.

Rimmed

 $V = \pi w (r^2 - r_0^2)$

 $V = 2449 mm^2$

 $m = 2449\rho \text{kg}$

 $I = (2449\rho)13^2$

 $I = 413881\rho$

 $m = \rho * V$

 $I = mr^2$

 $V = 3.14 * 15(14^2 - 12^2)$

В

This is similar in all ways except the wheel spins on the inner race of the bearing. The idea being it has lower inertia as the inner ring of the bearing's spinning not the outer so the mass of the wheels closer to the axle of rotation.

▼Figure 81

▲Fiaure 82

CURVED HUBS

This design allows for lower inertia and the bearing is closer to the main body, increasing wheel stability.

We included hubs to reduce **turbulance** inside the wheel. In this design the hubs are fixed which allows for a **convex surface** that keeps flow attached around the wheel. In the horizontal plane you can see the benefit of this.

Curved hubs improve the aerodynamics around the car.

GENERATIVE DESIGN

The wheel needs to be equally strong at any point on its surface, as it rotates whilst supporting the weight of the car.

To use **shape optimization** a segment of the wheel is modelled, as Fusion 360 can't solve for a load at all points on the wheel simultaneously.

The area where the bearing is inserted is marked with a preserve region (green cylinder) to ensure that there is enough material to hold the bearing securely.

The face where the bearing is inserted is set as a fixed constraint (padlock symbol), as the wheel

needs to be rigid about the bearing and not **deform** under expected loads.

SIMULATION RESULTS

The results are shown as a mesh, coloured by how important each cell of material is to the strength of the part, the 'Load Path Criticality'. A mesh is then exported for various levels of mass, and tested for strength in an FEA simulation to verify that they are sufficiently strong and won't deform.

FINAL WHEEL FROM RESULTS

Our final wheel was about 20% of the weight of a solid core wheel, whilst maintaining sufficient strength to support our car as it races down the track The mesh is then promoted to the design workspace and rotationally patterned, and then finished using solid workspace tools to create the final wheels ready for manufacture.

WHEEL SYSTEM EVALUATION **IDEA EVALUATION**

-Designs developed in steps based on manufactured testing which resulted in a stable and well manufactured final design.

-Axle system developed based on theoretical research to reduce rotational inertia, this resulted in a faster final wheel design.

-Print layer orientation changed after printing as the support is too weak.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-Explore splitting the wheel 5 or 7 times to gain even lighter 'strength to weight' ratio designs.

-Work with an industry partner to try simulating a wheel with a 3d printed makeup. How would the layer weaknesses alter the strongest design generated?

-Although rotational inertia is reduced, stability is worse. Main focus to develop is increasing wheel stability.

Fibonacci 🗛

Figure 87: Set up of generative design

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

TETHER LINE GUIDE

Our **aims** for the tether guide set our target to work towards development.

We kept in mind the key purpose to the tether line guide: to keep the car travelling straight down the track. We have a range from 3mm inner diameter to 6mm inner diameter to consider how to best fulfil the tether guide aims.

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

All designs are evaluated in relation to our tether guide aims. Either fulfilling the aim or not. Initially we 3D printed a tether guide to attach between the wheels. It was designed for manufacture by chamfering the edges and beveling the connections. The result is bulky as the walls need to be thick to be strong enough.

В

▲Figure 94

To improve strength we increased the contact area between the tether guide loop and the support. To strengthen the wheel system and prevent bowing we designed the tether guide support to go between the wheel supports. The loop material we changed to nylon as it has the lowest coefficient of friction with the nylon tether guide.

as model block snaps.

Then a carbon fibre loop is

it's pre-manufactured with a

-stronger connection to main body

smooth edge.

To improve:

through the car.

Won't break during racing or knockouts.

Reduce friction between guide and tether.

We refer to these checkboxes to evaluate each design.

AERODYNAMIC Minimal aerodynamic disturbance.

STRONG

LOW FRICTION

▼Figure 98: Final tether guides

BEARING TESTING

Spin time is proportional to friction. We machined disks with a groove for a string and weight to hang so we can exert an equal torque for each bearing and reliably find which bearings spin for longer and have less friction. Measurements were repeated 3 times and the average displayed on a graph.

WHEEL SYSTEM EVALUATION **IDEA EVALUATION**

-Several technically inspired ideas for wheel systems were developed to a successful final design.

-Advanced use of 3D modelling techniques + generative design used for highly detailed wheel systems modelled and manufactured to develop ideas.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-Increase accuracy of bearing wobble method. Protracter not easily set to 0 position so reading uncertainty of at least $\pm 1^{\circ}$. -Axis for wobble could be reversed as then the best bearing would have the tallest orange and blue bars.

By varying the inner diameter from 3.5mm to 4mm to 4.5mm we can select the best quality guide loops. We chose the 4mm quides due to even thickness of nylon.

Fibonacci

Aim: Determine the best bearings which have the least wobble and spin for the longest.

▲Figure 105

We aimed to reduce wobble in bearings as this would reduce energy losses. We measured it with a thin disk fitted onto each bearing. The angle of maximum tilt was measured with a protracter and recorded.

VISCOUS FRICTION

Lubricant in the bearing reduces wear and deformation due to the parts rubbing against eachother, however the grease itself resists the rotational motion. We removed this by **soaking** the bearings in Acetone as speed is our priority over durability.

SPIN TIME Spin time average for the bearings goes from 34 seconds to 67 after soaking in Acetone.

Figure 106

CAM AND CNC 8

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

MANUFACTURING GOALS

Clear manufacturing goals guide our evaluations of machining processes to ensure high quality manufacturing of our final car.

In addition to our manufacturing goals we evaluated each process in terms of sustainability. Focussing to reduce machining time and waste material as a result of manufacture.

CONSIDERATE Manufacturing considerations incorporated to design. APPROPRIATE Appropriate machining techniques + equipment used. CONSISTENT Manufacturing processes precise and repeatable. ACCURATE Manufacture CAD design to high degree of accuracy.

CNC CONSIDERATIONS

ACCESSIBILITY

CNC milling requires the model to be accessed from each plane it's milled in. The CNC machine we used was a X axis CNC. We outsourced as we don't have our own machine to use. For our final car we machined it to ±0.1mm to ±0.5mm accuracy.

CNC SET UP + MACHINING

▲ Figure 111: Denford 2600 PRO

CNC MANUFACTURING STAGES

Step 1: Prepare CAD for CNC

Additional parts removed, checks done.

Step 2: Set Toolpaths and CNC car

Set up machine and create toolpaths then CNC the car.

MINIMUM RADIUS Before CNC manufacturing our main body we used Fusion 360's accessibility testing to make sure it was fully machinable. We added bevels on the edges so the CNC Machine could access all edges and points on the CAD model.

CNC milling involves using a **rotating cutter** to slice away material from solid stock according to a **computer program**, and so is known as a **subtractive manufacturing method**. The most common cutter type used in smaller mills are called endmills. These typically have 1-4 cutting edges known as flutes. The rpm of the motor that turns the cutter (the motor and cutter holding assembly is called the spindle) is carefully controlled, as well as the speed that the material is fed into the cutter, so that consistent amounts of material are cut by each flute.

The speed of the cutter can also affect the surface finish of the part and wear on the tool.

Step 3: Remove body from CNC

When finished remove main body from the machine.

Step 4: Remove supports + sand

Break off support structures and sand machining marks.

3D PRINTING OUTSOURCING

Before 3D printing, the design must be checked to ensure that it is completely solid and all parts are thick enough that the nozzle can extrude there- the standard **nozzle diameter** is 0.4 mm. 3D printed parts are built up in layers and develop a "grain", where the connections between layers are weaker than each individual layer. Care must be taken to **orient the**

layers correctly in locations where strength is needed.

5

| | | t i igait | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | FI | LAMENT MATERIAL | |
| | PLA | ABS | PETG |
| MACHINE USED | Prusa Mk3 PrusaSlicer software | Ultimaker 2 Ultimaker Cura | Ultimaker 2 Ultimaker Cura |
| STRENGTH (Ultimate Strength Mpa) | 65 Mpa | 40 Mpa | 53 Мра |
| FLEXIBILITY | None | Little bit of give. Impact resistant | Only when thin |
| SURFACE FINISH | Smooth | Requires sanding | Smooth |
| EASE TO REMOVE SUPPORTS | Difficult, leaves rough surface | Difficult, leaves rough surface | Easy break off, leaves smooth surface |
| COLOURS AVAILABLE | Clear, Green | Black, grey, white, blue | White, orange |
| PICTURE | | Notes | |
| MANUFACTURI IDEA EVALUATIO | NG EVALUATION | Figure 115 | Figure 116 |

-We outsourced CNC machining to two suppliers: a local school and Nissan. This allowed for quality control checks to be made.

-Within each manufacturing stage we were extensively involved with our partners we outsourced to, so we learnt about the machines operations and safety measures.

-To evaluate the filament options for 3D printing, test parts were made creating waste. We are passing them on to future teams to use as a reference, so they don't do the same.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-Alter support structure locations to see where pressure is best distributed during manufacture.

▼Figure 113

Figure 11/1: 3D Print material

9 | **ASSEMBLY + FINISHING**

SURFACE FINISHING

We used a microscope to see the details of surface finishes. Aim: To definitively be able to evaluate each method for surface finishing.

We monitored each finish development by checking it to our success criteria and using an iterative approach going forwards.

Unfinished sanded Varnished model block

Plastidip edge

PLASTIC SURFACE FINISHING

For 3D Printing, we used a small layer height as the result is much smoother and stronger.

Our **process** for finishing was: -Rough sanding to remove excess plastic

-Place tinfoil in Jar with acetone -Leave to vapour finish, checking every 20 minutes

-Remove when 80% of desired smoothness

-Leave to solidify and set

Then for aesthetic and aerodynamic purposes we used vinylwrap to add detail and design to parts.

Quality goals:

- A Completely coats foam
- Vibrant Strong colour В
- Smooth surface С
- D Easy to apply
- E Sharp edges

Method:

Use cut offs from Model block and treat each one as if coating the final car. Then observe under the microscope and evaluate, developing from results.

Figure 121 Figure 120

Vinyl wrap surface finish

STAGES

1- lightly sand machine marks off

2- Spray Varnish on Plastidip 4 coats taking care to let fully dry 3- Glue wheel supports to main body

4- Apply vinyl wrap and cut edges 5- Attach decals to the car

Our final surface finish used the varnish to **seal the model block** then we applied heat transfer vinyl for colour and smoothness of the surface.

-Requires curves, no right

-Convex shapes instead

-smooth aerodynamic final

✓Figure 124: 3D printed surface finishing

angles

finish

of concave

-simple parts best

🐞 WARNING! 🚺 Safety considerations made with handling

- Acetone:
- -Gloves must be worn
- -If any touches skin wash immediately
- -Safety goggles in case of splashing
- -Seal jar securely
- -Keep away from sparks as highly flamable
- -Leave to vapour finish
- parts outside with plenty
- of ventilation
- -Store bottle at room temp

RESEARCH DESIGN Figure 118: Method

IDEA EVALUATION

-Using a microscope to look at surface finishes educated us on the makeup of materials, a major learning experience for the team.

-Surface finish methods were effectively removed from consideration for the final finishing processes due to test results with the microscope.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-Acetone finishing smoothed certain plastic parts but also weakened the plastic and added flex. Chemical research would allow us to explain this and find a way to smooth the parts without weakening.

- Outsourcing the vinyl wrapping could have improved the edges. To improve adhesion while the plastic cools a jig could have been made with the invert of the car.

Painted model block

Fibonacci

Figure 128: Cutting Vinyl

Craft knives are extremely sharp. Heat gun can burn. Knife slips easily on vinyl. Protective goggles must be worn. Keep fingers away from heat.

RESEARCH DESIGN DEVELOP MANUFACTURE

H 95% Confidence Interval 1.23 seconds

From data of 20 races

1. Place model block from above.

ASSEMBLY PROCESS

We use a rig to assemble all parts.

5. Insert axles through guides.

6. Insert bearings, wheels and hubs to axles.

7. Super glue hub and back of axle to seal onto car.

8. Remove from jig and vinyl wrap over joints

Figure 136: Midway through assembly model of our car

REGULATION CHECKS

To ensure our car met all the **regulations**, we created bodies in CAD. With the wheel safety zones we added 1mm on all sides to ensure if any manufacturing mistakes occurred or slips while assembling it would remain a fully legal car.

Together with our laser cut checking tools (see fig 134) this ensured we fixed any possible infringements. To meet the decal regulation for clear visibility on the side pod with $\pm 10^{\circ}$ from the vertical plane, we had to alter the design, making it flatter to meet the regulation.

DESIGN PROCESS EVALUATION IDEA EVALUATION

-Every part of our car has been developed through a thorough design process resulting in an excellent final product.

-Virtual analysis was integrated throughout achieving a highly aerodynamic car. -Manufacturing considerations resulted in high quality parts and assembly processes. -Variety of testing methods ensured we met our design aims of a fast, strong and legal car.

IMPROVEMENT ACTIONS

-Stress test model block to definitively have a thickness limit. This could have avoided later design changes such as adding a ridge to strengthen our car and informed design developments.

Quality goals:

met each one.

A Meets all regulations

B All cars absolutely identical

QUALITY ASSURANCE

quality assurance goals to ensure

Through a variety of methods we've

we met our design aims (page 1).

We made clear, measurable

- С Professional, clean cut finish
- D Vibrant Fibonacci branded livery
- E F Strong parts that will not break during racing
- Straight aligned wheels
- G Less than 1° of wobble of wheels
- H Test race with less than 1.1s average
- Each wheel spin time greater than 45seconds
- = SUCCESSUL

DESIGN PROCESS

TEST RACING CONFIRMS

fully assembled car on a track to ensure we can say with 100% confidence our car will not break during racing.

segments of the track to give greater impact with the brushes and our car withstands the impact.

▲ Figure 130

LASER CUT TOOLS

Quality assurance is important with pieces to make sure they work, laser measurements, calipers and find tolerances from machines and check compliance. Laser cut tools to check and ensure regulations are met. This is a cost effective and sustainable method with high positive project impact as it ensures this quality control point is met.

▲ Figure 132

Wheels spin for over 100 seconds!

Using the same method as on page 6, our wheels will spin for over a minute before they stop. This was achieved through evaluating a variety of bearings and using acetone to remove viscous lubricants.

▼ Figure 129

G Each wheel just

▲ Figure 133

WHEEL RIG **F**

From track testing it became clear how important alignment was by seeing how much our car wobbles down the track. We have developed a wheel system where the wheels don't share the same axle which means there's much more opportunity for misalignment and increasing wobble. Here are the rigs we designed and made for attaching wheel supports to the car for a **perfectly aligned fit** everytime.

▲ Figure 134

▲ Figure 135

.5°

We have purposefully tested our

In addition to this we removed

Figure 131

Fibonacci 👍

Once we have all our parts manufactured and individually finished.

2. Push wheel supports into snap fit from below.

3. Remove model block and add super glue to joints.

> 4. Place model block back and leave to dry with alignment rod in place.

9. Push fit rear wing support through holes in jig.

10. Super glue and magnetically attach rear wing.

▲ Figure 137

Ridge added for strength

3D MODELLING

SOFTWARE USED

Autodesk Fusion 360

CREATED BY

Mattie Ball Torokoff Project Manager + Design Engineer

Kenneth Mclver Manufacturing Engineer

Amius Marshall-De'Ath Graphic Designer

VIRTUAL ANALYSIS

SOFTWARE USED

Simscale Autodesk Fusion 360

CREATED BY

Kenneth Mclver Manufacturing Engineer

MANUFACTURING

SOFTWARE USED

Prusaslicer QuickCam Pro

CREATED BY

Kenneth Mclver Manufacturing Engineer

Miron Zadora Hacklab Engineer- Outsourced Sponsor

Figure: 114

Research No. :

1

| 1 |
|---|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |

DATA REFERENCES

Source:

Simplify3d.com/support/materialsguide/properties-table/

Theory + concept understanding

Source:

SQA AH Engineering Syllabus SQA AH Physics Syllabus SQA AH Engineering Syllabus

SQA AH Mechanics Syllabus SQA AH Engineering Syllabus SQA AH Engineering Syllabus

1- Drag Equation En.wikipedia.org/wiki/Drag equation

